Minor modifications to the plan which was presented at: Council 15th of July 2026. These changes were made under delegated powers, signed off by the Cabinet Member for Housing, Strategic Planning, and Regulatory Services and enacted prior to publication of the regulation 19 consultation in September 2026.

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | Front cover illustration credits (inside cover) | Ward list omits Sinfin & Osmaston. | Adds 'Sinfin and Osmaston: Statue of Sir Henry Royce'. | Missing photo credit added. | Completes the list of cover photographs; one ward's image was uncredited. | **Error correction** | None |
| **2** | Title of Ch.1 / paras 1.1, 1.2 and contents (p.1) | 'The New Local Plan for Derby'; 'What does the New Local Plan Cover?' | 'The Local Plan for Derby'; 'What does the Local Plan Cover?' | Word 'New' removed. | The Plan will not be 'new' once adopted; wording carried over from the Regulation 18 draft. The Regulation 19 plan must be the plan the Council intends to submit and must be capable of adoption as drafted (PPG / PINS Procedure Guide). Explanatory text carried over from the Regulation 18 draft that described a change from the 2017 Plan would be untrue on adoption and has no policy effect. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **3** | Para 4, Spatial Portrait (p.2) | 'Derby is the principal city of Derbyshire' | 'Derby is the only city in Derbyshire' | Factual correction. | Derby is the only city in Derbyshire; 'principal' implied there were others. | **Error correction** | None – descriptive text. |
| **4** | Paras 8 and 9, History / Economy (p.3) | '…saw the city establish itself…'; 'automative' | '…saw Derby establish itself…'; 'automotive' | Historical accuracy (Derby was a town in the 18th century) and a typo. | Factual and spelling corrections to descriptive text. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **5** | Para 10, Spatial Portrait (p.4) | '…combines a brand-new water park…' | '…combines a water park…' | 'brand-new' deleted. | Moorways will not be 'brand new' when the Plan is adopted. The Regulation 19 plan must be the plan the Council intends to submit and must be capable of adoption as drafted (PPG / PINS Procedure Guide). Explanatory text carried over from the Regulation 18 draft that described a change from the 2017 Plan would be untrue on adoption and has no policy effect. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **6** | Para 20, Housing (p.6) | 'The City Centre has only so much re-developable land' | 'The City Centre has limited re-developable land' | Plain-English rewording. | Same meaning expressed more clearly. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **7** | Table 1 SWOT analysis (p.8) | Bullets without full stops; 'Rolls Royce'; 'etc.'; final 'Climate change impacts…' entry not bulleted. | Full stops added; 'Rolls-Royce'; 'et cetera'; entry bulleted. Content of every bullet unchanged. | Formatting/punctuation only. | No change to the substance of any strength, weakness, opportunity or threat. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **8 [item 15]** | Vision – new para 44 (p.12) [Cabinet version had no equivalent paragraph] | — | 'The network of national and local cycling and public transport routes has been strengthened converging on the City Centre, linking Derby's neighbourhoods with surrounding settlements and reinforcing its importance as a hub for multiple modes of travel.' | Additional vision sentence on transport network. | Clarification of an approved theme, in non-policy text. The subject matter was already in the version Members approved: the spatial strategy at para 81→84 (unchanged) states that 'the strategy requires opportunities to be taken to reduce reliance on private car travel and promote walking and cycling'; OBJ1 (unchanged) commits to a city 'fully accessible by active travel'; OBJ12 (unchanged) gives 'priority…to delivering practical and attractive active travel routes, while reducing the necessity for private car use'; and the approved vision already described Derby's role as a rail hub at para 43. The added sentence restates that | **Clarity** | None / very minor – vision text is not used to determine applications; the operative policy (T1) is unchanged. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | approved position in the vision. The vision is a description of the city in 2043, not a test applied to planning applications; the operative policy (T1) is identical in both versions. | | |
| **9 [item 15]** | Vision – new para 46 (p.12) | — | 'How people access and move around the city centre will change during the course of the plan period. Streets will be improved, being cleaner, greener and more welcoming for people. Although some reliance on car travel will remain, the long-term ambition is to make sustainable and active travel the natural choice by removing the barriers that currently prevent people from choosing these modes.' | Additional vision paragraph for the City Centre. | As row 8. The paragraph restates the approved spatial strategy at para 81→84 and OBJ12, and the approach in Policies T1, CC1(p) ('Rethinking the way in which we travel into…the City Centre') and CC10, all unchanged. It is aspirational narrative in the vision, expressly acknowledging that 'some reliance on car travel will remain' and describing a 'long-term ambition' – language which cannot operate as a requirement. | **Clarity** | None / very minor – as row 8. |
| **10 [item 11]** | Vision – new para 51 (p.13) | — | 'Urban extensions will be well planned as mixed use places rather than single purpose housing estates. Combining homes, employment space, community facilities, green infrastructure, and local services creates activity throughout the day and reduces the need for long car-based trips. To function well, these areas should support viable public transport, walking and cycling networks, or be located within walking distance of existing areas where there are already frequent bus services, safe active travel corridors, and strong connections to the existing urban fabric.' | Additional vision paragraph on urban extensions. | Clarification, in non-policy text, restating requirements already in the approved plan. The approved vision already referred to 'the new urban extensions emerging at the city's edges' (para 36→38, unchanged), so the topic is not new to the vision. The characteristics described – mixed uses, employment space, community facilities, green infrastructure, local services, public transport and active travel connections – are already required by Policies GD1 (placemaking principles), GD7 (comprehensive and coordinated development), T1 and the site allocation policies HA1–HA5, none of which changed. | **Clarity** | None – no application will be assessed against it; the operative requirements are in the unchanged policies. |
| **11** | Vision paras 48→50 and 50→53 (p.13) | '…although some of them will become narrower to accommodate new housing.'; 'Essential and complementary infrastructure…is progressed.' | '…although some of them have become narrower…'; '…will have progressed.' | Reg 18 wording taken out because the plan must be as it will be on adoption. | The vision describes the position in 2043; on adoption the Green Wedge boundaries will already have been changed by this Plan, so 'will become' is Regulation 18 wording. Grammar corrected in the second sentence. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **12** | Plan Objectives OBJ1–OBJ15 (pp.13–17) | Council Plan outcome measures listed without bullets; objectives numbered OBJ13, OBJ15, OBJ16 (no OBJ14); | Outcome measures bulleted; objectives renumbered OBJ13, OBJ14, OBJ15; stray bullet removed. Wording of every objective unchanged. | Numbering gap and formatting corrected. | A numbering error (OBJ14 missing) and list formatting; no objective was added, removed or reworded. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **13** | Whole document – paragraph and figure numbering | Paragraphs numbered 1–745; Figures 1–64 (incl. Figure 4 Article 4 map and Figure 52 photo). | Paragraphs renumbered (from para 44 onward, by up to +8) and figures renumbered 1–62 to fix plan paragraph numbering erors. | Corrected numbering | Word auto-renumbering; no substantive change. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **14** | Policy GD1 criteria s)–cc) (pp.23–24) | 's) Homes and Buildings;' lettered as if a criterion; following criteria lettered t)–cc). | 'Homes and Buildings' formatted as the sub-heading it was intended to be; Text of each criterion unchanged. | Corrected lettering | 'Homes and Buildings' is a heading for the group of beneath it, like the other sub-headings in GD1; it had been given a letter in error. | **Error correction** | None – the same criteria apply; only the letters changed. |
| **15** | Policy GD1 supporting text, para 91→94 (p.25) | Paragraph ends '…is a critical tool in reducing long term inequalities.' | Adds: 'Encouraging healthy movement where possible through design can often help people to lead more physically active and fulfilling lives based on Sport England's 10 principles of active design.' | Explanatory sentence added to supporting text. | Signposts existing national guidance (Sport England Active Design) which is already a material consideration and is already reflected in the approved GD1 (walkable, well-connected places) and GD6 (health and wellbeing). This is heavily caveated wording suggested by sport England. 'where possible' and 'can often help' just directs towards the existing national guidance which is a material consideration, and the sentence explains the preceding approved sentence about design and health inequalities. | **Clarity** | None / very minor – supporting text referencing published guidance. |
| **16** | Policy GD1 supporting text, paras 100–105 → 103–108 (pp.25–26) | List a)–e) without semicolons; 'Harmful and/or irregular intrusion into open countryside, Green Wedges or Green Belt should be avoided.' | Semicolons added; '…Green Wedges, Green Gap or Green Belt…'. | Punctuation; omitted designation added. | The Plan designates a Green Gap (Policy EN7); its omission from the list of open-land designations was an oversight. However, it is not a change in policy since EN7 was already approved in the cabinet version. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **17** | Policy GD2 c) (p.28) | '…houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) (see Policy H7);' | '…(see Policies H8 and H9);' | Cross-reference corrected. | The HMO policies are H8 and H9 (H7 is older people's accommodation). One of many cross-references left out of date when policies were renumbered before the Cabinet version was finalised. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **18** | Policy GD6 criterion h) and following sentence (p.34) | '…These will be protected and improved to ensure that they can be accessed by sustainable transport modes Health Impact Assessment may be required…' | '…accessed by sustainable and/or active transport modes.' New paragraph: 'A Health Impact Assessment may be required…' | Missing full stop / run-on sentence fixed; 'and/or active' added. | The Cabinet text ran two sentences together and did not make sense Active travel (walking/cycling) is already the top of the Plan's transport hierarchy (T1); No new requirement for applications. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – clarifies the existing criterion and takes out poorly worded sentence |
| **19** | Policy GD7, final paragraph of policy (p.37) | 'No development will be permitted within areas identified as specific City Centre allocations until a masterplan/ framework has been developed…' | 'No significant development will be permitted…' | Qualifier 'significant' inserted. | As drafted the sentence would have prevented any development at all (a shopfront, an extension, a change of use) on the City Centre allocations until a masterplan existed – an unintended effect inconsistent with the rest of GD7 and the CC policies, which are about comprehensive development of the allocations. The change clarifies the intended scope, as it was clearly not intended to capture such changes. | **Clarity / Error correction** | Minor and clarifies that ordinary minor development doesn't need a masterplan as was clearly the intended effect. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **20** | Policy GD8 policy text (p.39) | '(see Policy EN11)' | '(see Policy HE1)' | Cross-reference corrected. | Heritage policies are numbered HE; EN11 is Air Quality. Numbering cofrrected | **Error correction** | None. |
| **21** | Policy GD9 h) and clawback paragraph (pp.41–42) | 'h) Sports facilities;'; '…prioritise the infrastructure types A to E above.' | 'h) Sports facilities (indoor and outdoor);'; '…types a to e above.' | Clarification of an existing infrastructure type; letter case matched to the list. | Sports facilities already appeared in the list; the bracket clarifies that both indoor and outdoor facilities are covered (as the Playing Pitch Strategy and EN3 already do). The list is lettered a)–e) in lower case, so 'A to E' was an inconsistency. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – no new infrastructure type or contribution. |
| **22** | Policy GD9 supporting text, after para 155→159 (p.42) | — | Adds: 'Technical notes may be developed to further detail how contributions should be provided during the lifetime of the plan; for example, once the playing pitch and outdoor sports strategy is updated.' | Explanatory sentence added. | Records that non-statutory technical notes 'may' be prepared. The approved text immediately before it already refers to the adopted Planning Obligations SPD and to the Playing Pitch Strategy being updated. The sentence creates no obligation but reflects that since Supplementary Planning Documents can no longer be created, it's likely that some further explanatory documents to assist decision making may be produced, (which the council has the power to do anyway) | **Clarity** | None – any technical note would simply be explanatory. |
| **23** | Policies GD2–GD11 supporting text, various (pp.28–47) | 'appropriate ;', 'Here there', 'street;', 'council', '3d', 'for example', 'etc', 'e.g.' | 'appropriate;', 'Here, there', 'street.', 'Council', '3D', 'for example,', 'et cetera', 'for example,' | spelling and punctuation. | Consistency only. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **24** | Housing chapter introduction, para 172→176 (p.48) | Ends: 'These concepts have been informed by the following key points raised during the consultation.' | Sentence deleted. | Not relevant to the adopted plan, reg 18 text | The sentence introduced a list of consultation points that does not appear in the document; it was left over from the Regulation 18 draft. The Regulation 19 plan must be the plan the Council intends to submit and must be capable of adoption as drafted (PINS Procedure Guide). Explanatory text carried over from the Regulation 18 draft that described a change from the 2017 Plan would be untrue on adoption and has no policy effect. | **Error correction / Clarity** | None. |
| **25** | Policy H1, second paragraph (p.48) | 'New housing will be provided to meet a range of housing needs including market and affordable homes, across a range of sizes and which are capable of meeting a range of specialist needs.' | 'New homes will be provided to meet a range of housing needs including market and affordable homes, and across a variety of types and sizes, and which are capable of meeting specialist needs which are identified in the Local Housing Needs Assessment.' | Wording of a policy sentence clarified. | The same requirement expressed more clearly: 'types and sizes' (mix) and 'specialist needs' were already required; the reference to the Local Housing Needs Assessment (LHNA) simply identifies the evidence that already defines those needs and is already relied on in Policies H2, H4 and H7 and their supporting text. Clarifies the source of the needs already referred to in the policy. | **Clarity** | Very minor – the LHNA was already the source of the needs the policy refers to. |
| **26** | Policy H1 supporting text, para 174→178 (p.49) | '…the Government's Standard Method calculation which currently identifies a need of 953…' | '…which identifies a need of 953…' | 'currently' deleted. | The figure is fixed at the point of submission; 'currently' doesn't make sense here. Figure unchanged. | **Clarity** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **27** | Policy H1 supporting text, paras 176–177 → 180–181 (p.49) | '…further growth is planned through local plan preparation.' 'In particular, two substantial urban extensions to the city will be delivered in South Derbyshire at Land South of Mickleover and Infinity Garden Village. Both of these strategic locations will contribute to meeting the housing needs of the city and will provide co-ordinated and planned development to ensure that they are sustainable developments.' | '…further growth is planned in South Derbyshire through their local plan preparation.' 'In particular, two substantial urban extensions to the city are proposed in South Derbyshire at Land South of Mickleover and Infinity Garden Village.' (final sentence deleted) | Accuracy about another authority's plan. | Derby cannot commit that development in South Derbyshire 'will be delivered'; those sites are proposed through South Derbyshire's emerging plan. The deleted sentence duplicated the preceding paragraph's explanation of the HMA Statement of Common Ground. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – Derby's housing requirement and supply (Table 2) unchanged. |
| **28 [item 1]** | Policy H1 supporting text, para 182→186 (p.50) | 'A number of new greenfield sites will be allocated to be developed for housing and two strategic greenfield sites from the previous local plan will be carried forward. This will include further incursions of housing into some of Derby's Green Wedges, as evidenced by the Green Wedge Review.' | 'A number of new greenfield sites are allocated to be developed for housing across the city, but the main focus for the development of new homes is within the City Centre through the regeneration and renewal of previously developed land. The specific housing development sites within the City Centre are set out and explained in greater detail in the City Centre chapter of the Plan.' | Regulation 18 explanatory text replaced with description of the Plan's strategy. | The referenced text is supporting text to Policy H1, not policy. It was Regulation 18 wording explaining why the draft plan was changing from the 2017 Plan ('will be allocated', 'carried forward', 'further incursions'); on adoption that explanation would no longer be true. The Regulation 19 plan must be the plan the Council intends to submit and must be capable of adoption as drafted (PINS Procedure Guide). Explanatory text carried over from the Regulation 18 draft that described a change from the 2017 Plan would be untrue on adoption and has no policy effect. The Green Wedge Review remains part of the evidence base, the Green Wedge boundaries on the Policies Map and Policy EN6 are unchanged, and the strategy described (City Centre focus, Table 2) is the strategy Cabinet approved. | **Clarity** | None – no change to any allocation, Green Wedge boundary or policy test. |
| **29 [item 9]** | Policy H1 supporting text, para 184→188 (p.50) | '…losses to the housing stock must be minimised and should not be allowed unless justified.' | Adds: 'However, historic trends indicate that dwelling losses do happen and so the trajectory and supply components table above factor in an allowance for losses.' | Explanatory sentence added. | Not part of a policy – explanatory text to indicate to the Inspector that losses have been considered in the trajectory. The allowance itself is not new: Table 2 in the Cabinet version already contains the line 'Losses (15pa) –255' and the supply total is identical in both versions. The sentence explains an existing figure; it does not change the evidence or the methodology. | **Clarity** | None – Table 2, the housing requirement and the trajectory (Appendix 2) are identical in both versions. |
| **30** | Policy H2 supporting text, para 196→200 (p.53) and Policy H7 supporting text, para 226→230 (p.61) | 'wheelchair adaptable/adapted homes'; 'This is known as wheelchair adaptable dwellings.'; '10% … constructed to Part M4(3)b of the Building Regulations, which is also known as wheelchair accessible dwellings.'; H7 text: 'constructed as wheelchair accessible'. | 'wheelchair adaptable homes'; 'constructed to Part M4(3)a of the Building Regulations.'; H7 text: 'wheelchair adaptable'. | Supporting text brought into line with the policy. | Policy H2 (unchanged in both versions) requires Part M4(3)a 'wheelchair adaptable' dwellings. The supporting text wrongly referred to M4(3)b (accessible) for affordable homes, contradicting the policy. Correcting supporting text to match the approved policy. | **Error correction** | None – the policy requirement (M4(3)a) is unchanged; the supporting text no longer misdescribes it. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **31** | Policy H2 supporting text, para 197→201 (p.54) | '…applications and permissions for new Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) in the city.' | '…for new communal living types, including Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs)…' | Clarification. | The paragraph already goes on to discuss C2 uses and student accommodation; the opening sentence now matches its content. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **32** | Policy H4 supporting text, paras 204 and 209 → 208 and 213 (p.57) | 'local plan viability assessment'; 'The NPPF, paragraph 64, states…' | 'Local Plan Viability Assessment'; 'The NPPF states…' | Capitalisation; NPPF paragraph number removed. | NPPF paragraph numbers change with each revision; removing the number future-proofs the reference. | **Presentation / Clarity** | None. |
| **33** | Policy H6 policy text (p.59) | '…Policy H7 (Older People's Accommodation) and H9 (Student Accommodation).' | '…and H10 (Student Accommodation).' | Cross-reference corrected. | Student Accommodation is Policy H10. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **34** | Policy H7 supporting text, para 228→232 (p.61) | '…contribution of communal living schemes to the city's housing supply as set out in Policy H7 above.' | '…to the city's housing supply.' | Incorrect reference removed. | The paragraph is the supporting text to H7 itself, so it didn't make sense | **Error correction** | None. |
| **35** | Policies H8 and H9 (pp.61–63) | Title 'Proposals Which Would Create…'; criteria d)–g) begin 'Does not…', 'Provides…'; '(see appendix 3)'; H9 c) 'Does not Provide…'. | Title case corrected; criteria begin 'The proposal does not…', 'The proposal provides…'; '(see Appendix 4)'; 'Does not provide'. | Grammar and cross-reference. | Criteria made grammatically complete sentences; the parking guidance is Appendix 4 (Appendix 3 is the table of strategic policies). | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **36** | Figure 4 and Policy H8 supporting text, para 232→236 (p.62) | Figure 4 'Map showing the HMO Article 4 Direction Area'; sentence 'Figure 4 above shows area where the Article 4 Direction applies at the time of Plan publication.' | Figure and sentence removed. Preceding sentences describing the Article 4 Direction retained. | Illustrative map removed for consistency | The Article 4 Direction is made under separate legislation, is not a Local Plan designation and can be varied during the plan period; for example, more article 4 areas could be added in the future There are also article 4's in force that are not related to HMOs (for example conservation). The article 4 directions and areas are shown on the Council's website | **Clarity / Presentation** | None – the Direction and Policies H8/H9 are unchanged; applicants are directed to the Direction itself. |
| **37** | Policies H10 and H11 supporting text, paras 241–249 → 245–253 (pp.64–66) | 'Higher education'; 'Where accommodation for students is provided as self-contained dwelling units'; 'a criteria-based policy is the most appropriate way'; 'boundaries.,' | 'higher education'; 'Where accommodation is provided as self-contained dwelling units'; 'a criteria-based approach'; 'boundaries.' | Redundant words, wording and typo. | Minor drafting tidy-up; meaning unchanged. | **Presentation / Clarity** | None. |
| **38 [item 7]** | Policy H12 supporting text, para 251→255 (p.67) | 'The evidence indicated a need for some 14 plots for permanent Gypsy and Traveller accommodation within the city.' | '…a need for some 14 pitches for permanent Gypsy and Traveller accommodation within the city between 2020 and 2040. Policy HA16 makes provision for 17 pitches to cover the period between 2040 and 2043 (the end of the plan period) given a need for one further pitch a year as set out in the GTAA. The site allocated to meet Gypsy and Traveller need is large enough to meet need should the GTAA need | 'plots' → 'pitches' (terminology); explanation of the 17-pitch figure added. | The operative figure is unchanged and appears in the policy box of both versions: Policy HA16 allocates the site 'to provide a minimum of 17 permanent caravan pitches' in the Cabinet version and in the published version alike. That is the figure a decision-taker will apply. The added supporting text explains why HA16 provides for more pitches than the GTAA identifies – the plan period runs three years beyond the GTAA study period – using the one-pitch-per-year figure from the GTAA itself (Derbyshire, 2024), which has been published for over two years and is part of the evidence | **Clarity / Error correction** | None or very minor – HA16 and the 17-pitch requirement are identical in both versions. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | requirements vary when the study is reviewed.' | | base. No new evidence was created or relied upon. 'Plots' was a terminology error: the Plan's own Glossary (both versions) distinguishes a 'pitch' (Gypsy and Traveller) from a 'plot' (Travelling Showpeople). | | |
| **39** | New heading 5.1 and para 259, before Policy HA1 (p.67) | Heading 'Housing allocations outside of the City Centre' followed directly by text. | Adds sub-heading '5.1 Housing Allocations Outside of the City Centre' and introductory para: 'The section below identifies specific housing allocation sites that are outside the city centre. Housing site allocations within the City Centre are set out in the City Centre chapter. All allocations are set out in the housing trajectory Appendix 2.' | Navigational/introductory text. | Explains the structure of the Plan (allocations split between Chapter 5 and the City Centre chapter) – wayfinding for the reader; no policy content. | **Clarity / Presentation** | None. |
| **40** | Policy HA2 (Brook Farm) supporting text, para 265→270 (p.72) | 'Decision making in regard to this site should consider transport impacts of consented development in South Derbyshire and where possible link to relevant mitigation.' | 'Decision making for the site should also consider potential walking and cycling links to, and along Acorn Way, including links to areas in Erewash beyond the city boundary.' | Incorrect sentence replaced. | Brook Farm (Chaddesden) adjoins Erewash, not South Derbyshire | **Error correction / Clarity** | None – the policy criteria for HA2 are unchanged. |
| **41** | Policy HA3 (Moorway Lane) criterion and para 269→274 (pp.73–74) | 'This should form an open, green transitional area to the east of the buult development…'; 'The green wedge in this location…' | '…an open, green area to the east of the built development…'; 'The Green Wedge in this location…' | Typo; imprecise word removed; capitalisation. | 'transitional' had no defined meaning and was removed 'to be more precise'; the requirement for an open green area, its location and functions are unchanged. | **Clarity / Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **42** | Policy HA5 (The Hollow) supporting text, para 286→291 (p.81) | '…Land west of Haven Baulk Lane and south of The Hollow (known as South of Staker Lane).' | '…and south of Staker Lane (known as South of Staker Lane).' | Description of a land parcel corrected. | The parcel is south of Staker Lane, as its own name states; 'The Hollow' was a drafting error | **Error correction** | None – the allocation boundary is on the Policies Map. |
| **43 [item 2]** | Policy HA5 (The Hollow) supporting text, para 289→294 (p.82) | 'All of the land within the city is identified in the current local plan as Green Wedge. A Green Wedge Review, carried out by consultants on behalf of the Council, has indicated that if developed for housing, it is not possible to retain viable green wedge in this location. Therefore, the green wedge will be removed, and the allocation will require on-site public open space…' | 'The allocation will require on-site public open space to be provided as well as green infrastructure and GI links out of the city into South Derbyshire.' | Regulation 18 explanatory text removed. | The referenced text is supporting text to Policy HA5, not policy. It was Regulation 18 wording explaining why the draft plan was changing from the 2017 Plan. On adoption it would no longer be true that 'the green wedge will be removed', because it will have been removed by adoption of the Plan. The Regulation 19 plan must be the plan the Council intends to submit and must be capable of adoption as drafted (PPG / PINS Procedure Guide). Explanatory text carried over from the Regulation 18 draft that described a change from the 2017 Plan would be untrue on adoption and has no policy effect. The Green Wedge Review (2025) remains in the evidence base and the allocation, its boundary and every HA5 requirement are unchanged. | **Clarity** | None – no change to the allocation or its requirements. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **44** | Policy HA6 (Kingsway Boulevard) supporting text, para 292→297 (p.85) | '…reflecting, the established new residential development and community which has been established…' | '…reflecting, the residential development and community which has been established…' | Contradictory/duplicated words removed. | 'established new…which has been established' was a drafting error. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **45 [item 5]** | Policy HA10 (Elton Road Works) policy text (p.91) | '…a mix of residential, and employment uses and is identified as a Regeneration Area in the current local plan. It is specifically identified for residential development in the current local plan but there has been no planning application to date.' '…the wider area is no longer to be allocated as a strategic regeneration location.' | '…a mix of residential and employment uses.' '…the wider area is no longer allocated as a strategic regeneration location.' | Reference to the 2017 Plan's designation removed. | As HA5 (row 43): a description of the 'current local plan' would be untrue and confusing in an adopted plan that supersedes it (officer comment 1 Jun 26: 'will not be the case when the LP for Derby is adopted'). The allocation of a minimum of 80 dwellings, and all requirements, are unchanged. | **Clarity** | None – no change to the allocation or its requirements. |
| **46** | Contents list entry for Policy HA11 (p.iv) | 'Policy HA11: Former Shaftesbury Leisure Centre' | 'Policy HA11: Shaftesbury Street' | Contents entry corrected. | The policy itself is titled 'Policy HA11: Shaftesbury Street' in both versions; only the contents list was out of date. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **47 [item 3]** | Policy HA12 (North of Sinfin Moor Lane) policy text (p.93) | 'This land is identified in the current local plan as proposed public open space as part of a wider designation to create a new park between Shelton Lock and Chellaston. This park has not been delivered, and the land is not within the control of the Council and therefore cannot remain as proposed public open space in the local plan as there is no mechanism to deliver the policy.' | Paragraph deleted. | Reference to the 2017 Plan's designation removed. | As HA5 (row 43): not policy wording; explains the change from the 2017 Plan and would be irrelevant and confusing in an adopted plan, in which the 2017 designation no longer exists.. The allocation and its requirements are unchanged, and the site's history remains in the Regulation 18 consultation material and the Sustainability Appraisal. | **Clarity** | None – no change to the allocation or its requirements. |
| **48 [item 8]** | Policy HA16 (Gypsy and Traveller site) policy text and Figure 27→26 (pp.97–98) | '…consistent with the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople policy (Policy H10).' Figure 27 placed before supporting text. | '…(Policy H12).' Figure 26 placed after supporting text. Supporting para 306→311 still reads '(see Policy H10)'. | Cross-reference corrected in the policy box; figure repositioned. | The Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople policy is H12 (H10 is Student Accommodation). The remaining reference in para 311 is still an error | **Error correction / Presentation** | None – readers are directed to the correct policy; the residual error is obvious from context. |
| **49 [item 16]** | Policy E1 policy text (p.99) | '…130 hectares of new employment land is allocated…' | '…133 hectares of new employment land is allocated…' | Total corrected. | The E1 headline total had not been updated to include the Raynesway site members had already approved: 130 + 3 = 133. The change adds no land; it makes the total consistent with the allocations. Note the supporting text at para 313→318 still reads 'allocation strategy (130 hectares)' and so is stil an errror | **Error correction** | None – the total now reflects the allocations that Cabinet had already approved. |
| **50** | Policy E1 policy text (p.99) | '…considered against relevant criteria (a)-(g) in Policy E2, relating to the loss of employment land.' | '…criteria h-n in Policy E2…' | Cross-reference corrected. | The loss-of-employment-land criteria in E2 are lettered h)–n); (a)–(g) are the existing employment locations. | **Error correction** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **51** | Policy E2 and E5–E8: criteria lettering, headings and figure captions (pp.99–116) | '(See Policy E8)'; E5 sub-criteria 'g) a. b. c. d.' then h)–l); 'POLICY E8'; Figure 28 'Employment Land Provision'; Figure 29 'Alston'; Figure 30 'Map of the Central Activity Area'; Figure 31 '(Infinity Park)'; Figure 32 'Smartparc'; Figure 34 'Rolls-Royce Employment Allocations'. | '(see Policy E8)'; E5 criteria relettered a)–k) with 'The Council will require:'; 'Policy E8'; Figure 27 'Strategic Employment Land and Sites'; Figure 28 'Alstom'; Figure 29 'Map of Derby's CAA'; Figure 30 '(including Infinity Park Derby)'; Figure 31 'SmartParc'; Figure 33 'Key Rolls-Royce Operations in Derby'. | Lettering, spelling of proper names and figure captions. | Sub-criteria numbered inconsistently (officer comment 1 Jun 26); company names spelt correctly; captions describe what the maps show. No criterion added or removed. | **Presentation / Error correction** | None. |
| **52** | Policy E9 policy text and supporting text, para 367→372; Figure 35→34 (pp.117–120) | '(CAA – see policies CC7 and CC8)…new office development…food, drink and leisure uses (see Policies E4 and E9)'; 'regard will be had to Policies E10 and CC8'; Figure 35 'Retail Centres in Derby'. | '(CAA – see Policies E4 and CC7)…(see Policies E9 and CC8)'; 'Policies E10, E12 and CC8'; Figure 34 'Centres Hierarchy (Local Centres not shown)'. | Cross-references corrected; caption clarified. | E4 is the office policy and CC8 the food-and-drink policy – the references had been transposed; E12 (proliferation of specific in-centre uses, including food and drink) had been omitted. The map shows the centres hierarchy and does not show local centres. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **53** | Policy E11 criterion c) and para 386→391 (pp.124–125) | '…as set out in E9 and E10This may include…'; '(see policy CC5)' | '…E9 and E10. This may include…'; '(see Policy CC5)' | Missing full stop; capitalisation. | Typographical. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **54** | Policy E12 policy text (pp.126–128) | Stray '•' before 'Uses include:'; criterion m) '…parking of food delivery cycle couriers'. | Bullet removed; '…parking of food delivery couriers'. | Formatting; policy wording aligned with its supporting text. Clarification of meaning, | Supporting para 396→401 (both versions) refers to delivery drivers generally; The criterion concerns the amenity impact of courier parking, which is the same whatever the vehicle. | **Clarity / Error correction** | Very minor – aligns the criterion with the explanation Cabinet approved. |
| **55** | Policy EN1 criterion a) and supporting para 408→414 (pp.130–132) | 'a) National and locally designated sites;'; 'LNRS are a new England-wide system of spatial strategies that will establish…' | 'a) National and locally designated sites (Local Wildlife Sites, Nature Reserves, et cetera);'; 'LNRS are national spatial strategies which establish…' | Examples added; 'new' removed. | Examples clarify what 'locally designated sites' means (Appendix 6 lists them); the Derbyshire LNRS was published in September 2025 and will not be 'new' on adoption. | **Clarity** | None. |
| **56** | Policy EN2 criterion h) (p.135) | 'h) Predominantly undeveloped such as Green Belt and Green Wedges.' | 'h) Predominantly undeveloped land such as Green Belt, Green Wedges and Green Gaps.' | Missing word and omitted designation. | 'land' was missing; the Green Gap (EN7) is the same kind of open designation and its omission was an oversight (see row 16). | **Error correction** | None. |
| **57** | Policy EN3 criteria a)–k) and typology list (pp.137–139) | 'The accessibility standards set out in Appendix 4'; list items without punctuation. | '…Appendix 5'; semicolons/full stops added. | Cross-reference and punctuation. | The open space accessibility standards are in Appendix 5 (Appendix 4 is parking). | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **58** | Policy EN5 first sentence (p.141); Policy EN7 criterion (p.145); Policy EN8 d) (p.146) | 'The principal, general extent and permanence of the…Green Belt'; EN7: '…where the open character of the green wedge is particularly vulnerable'; EN8: 'habitat. In accordance with' | 'The principle, general extent…'; EN7: '…of the Green Gap is particularly vulnerable'; EN8: 'habitat in accordance with' | Spelling; wrong designation named; punctuation. | EN7 is the Green Gap policy; 'green wedge' was copied from EN6 in error. The same criterion in EN6 (unchanged) refers to the Green Wedge. | **Error correction** | None – EN7 already applied to Green Gaps. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **59 [item 13]** | Policy EN11 (Air Quality) supporting text – new para 476 (p.163) | — | Adds: 'Proposals should seek not to unnecessarily increase transport emissions, so development must avoid generating additional car traffic or creating conditions that worsen pollution. Proposals should support walking, cycling and public transport to help cut emissions, protect health and contribute to cleaner, safer places.' | Explanatory paragraph added to supporting text. | Clarification of a test already in the approved policy. Policy EN11 – identical in both versions – provides that 'Development proposals should seek opportunities to identify and improve air quality and should not reduce air quality', and that proposals should not '(a) lead to further deterioration of existing poor air quality'. The Plan's own supporting text, also unchanged, records that the Council 'has declared an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) relating to the emission of nitrogen dioxide from road traffic'. The added paragraph therefore explains criterion (a) by reference to the source the approved Plan identifies; it introduces no test that is not already in the policy box. The preceding paragraph, approved by Cabinet and unchanged, states that 'new development, as a minimum, must not cause new exceedances of legal air quality standards' and 'must endeavour to maintain the best ambient air quality compatible with sustainable development principles' – qualifiers which govern the passage. The added sentence is itself qualified ('should seek not to unnecessarily increase transport emissions, so…'). | **Clarity** | None – the operative tests in Policy EN11 (criteria a–h, the Air Quality Assessment and dust assessment requirements) and in Policy T1 are identical in both versions and remain the basis of any decision. This wording also reflects requirements already in T1 and GD1 about reducing unnecessary car trips and focussing on the transport hierarchy. |
| **60** | Policies EN9, EN12, EN13 supporting text lists (pp.150–158) | '(e.g. dust…'; list items 'Size', 'Form'… without punctuation | '(for example, dust…'; semicolons/full stops | Style | Typographical. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **61** | Policy HE1 para 485→492; Figure 40→39; HE2 sub-criteria; HE3 Figure 44→43 (pp.162–171) | '…Historic Parks and Gardens.'; Figure 40 'Heritage Assets in Derby'; HE2 sub-criteria 'a. b. c.…'; 'Darley Wier'. | '…Historic Parks, Gardens and Designed Landscapes.'; Figure 39 'Key Heritage Assets in and around Derby (not including Listed and Locally Listed buildings)'; 'a) b) c)…'; 'Darley Abbey Weir'. | Policy title quoted correctly; caption clarified; lettering; spelling. | HE7 is titled 'Historic Parks, Gardens and Designed Landscapes'; the map does not show listed buildings, which the caption now says. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **62** | Policy CL1 policy text and para 557→564 (pp.183–184) | '(Clause EN1)'; 'SUDs' | '(Policy EN1)'; 'SuDs' | Terminology. | The Plan has policies, not clauses; standard abbreviation for Sustainable Drainage Systems. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **63** | Policy CL2 (OCOR) Figure 46→45 and para 563→570 (p.187) | Figure 46 'The Our City Our River Area'; '(see Policy CC12)'; 'Package II works are funded and due to commence implementation in late 2025.' | Figure 45 'Location of OCOR Packages II and III'; '(see Policy CC11)'; 'Package II works are funded and commenced in late 2025.' | Caption corrected to what the map shows; cross-reference; factual update. | The map shows the Package II/III works, not the whole OCOR area; Derby Riverside is CC11; the works had commenced by the time of publication. | **Error correction / Clarity** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **64** | Policies CL3–CL6 supporting text (pp.190–196) | '(e.g. Air / Water Source…'; 'for example landfill' | '(for example, Air / Water Source…'; 'for example, landfill' | style. | Typographical. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **65** | Policies IC1–IC5 (pp.197–205) | '…facilities and services the community needs is key'; 'Policies CC12 and HA1 allocate new primary schools'; IC4: '(see policy CC13)', '(see policy H8)'; Figure 49 'St. Mary's Catholic Voluntary Academy'; Figure 50 'The University District and Campus'; IC5 list punctuation. | '…are key'; 'Policies CC11 and HA1'; '(see Policy CC12)', '(see Policy H10)'; Figure 48 'Chapel Courtyard, St. Mary's…'; Figure 49 '…Campus Locations'; punctuation. | Grammar, cross-references, captions. | Cross-references updated to the policy numbers used in the Cabinet version's own policy headings (Derby Riverside CC11; University Hub CC12; Student Accommodation H10). | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **66** | Policy T1: transport hierarchy, criteria k), l) and sub-criteria; para 628→636; Figure 51→50; Figure 52 (pp.206–209) | Hierarchy with stray '•' characters; 'k) …as part of multi-modal hubs;'; 'l) …(see Policy CC14)'; sub-criteria 'a. …(see Policy CC13)', 'b. …(see Policies CC15 and CC4(e))'; para: '(see policies CCx, CCx and CCx)'; Figure 52 'Promoting Active Travel in Derby' (photograph). | Stray bullets removed; 'k) …multi-modal hubs (see Policies CC4(c), CC4(f) and CC11);'; 'l) …(see Policy CC13)'; 'a) …(see Policy CC12)', 'b) …(see Policies CC14 and CC4(e))'; para: 'see Policies CC4 (c), CC4(f) and CC11'; Figure 52 photograph removed. | Placeholder cross-references ('CCx') completed; other cross-references corrected; formatting; photograph removed. | 'CCx' was an unfinished placeholder in the Cabinet version; the completed references point to the CC4 sites and CC11 where the multi-modal hubs are already provided for (unchanged). The photograph was removed following a query on 4 Jun 26 about permission to use an image of children. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **67** | Policy T3 policy text (p.211) | '…having regard to the parking guidance set out in Appendix 3.' | '…Appendix 4.' | Cross-reference corrected. | Parking guidance is Appendix 4. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **68** | Policies T4–T7, incl. T6 para 650→658 (pp.212–216) | List punctuation; '…complement the City's Transport Strategy and the Central Area parking policies' | Punctuation; '…the City's Transport Strategy and parking policies' | Undefined term removed; punctuation. | The Plan has no defined 'Central Area' (officer comment 1 Jun 26). | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **69** | Policy CC1 criteria (pp.219–220) | Two separate lists both lettered a)–f) and a)–k). | Second list relettered g)–q). Wording unchanged. | Duplicate lettering corrected. | Two criteria could not both be 'criterion a)'. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **70** | Policy CC2 policy text and para 660→668 (pp.220–221) | '…the area shown on the Policies Map.'; '(see Policy CC13)…(see Policy CC14)' | '…the Policies Map and Figure 51.'; '(see Policy CC12)…(see Policy CC13)' | Signpost to figure; cross-references. | Helps the reader find the map; policy numbers corrected. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – the Policies Map remains definitive. |
| **71** | Policy CC3 policy text and para 665→673 (pp.222–223) | '(see Policy CC12)…(see Policy CC14)…(see Policy CC15)'; '(see Policy E21)'; '(see Policies H8, IC4 and CC13)'; Figure 54 map without policy labels. | 'CC11…CC13…CC14'; '(see Policy GD11)'; '(see Policies H10, IC4 and CC12)'; Figure 52 map labelled 'Policy CC11' etc. | Cross-references corrected; map labels. | There is no Policy E21; shopfronts are GD11. Other references updated to the numbers used in the policy headings. These references reflect an earlier numbering of the plan. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **72** | Policy CC4 policy text, Table 5 and para 670→678 (pp.224–227) | '…Cathedral Court PBSA block'; '(see Policies EN11 and EN18)' (twice); second group of allocations lettered a)–d) again; Table 5 total row unlabelled. | '…Cathedral Court student accommodation block'; '(see Policies HE1 and HE6)'; allocations relettered e)–h); 'Total:' label added to existing 2,021 figure. | Plain English; cross-references; lettering; table label. | 'PBSA' was not explained in the plan; heritage policies are HE1/HE6; duplicate lettering; the total figure is unchanged. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **73** | Policy CC5 policy text and para 680→688 (pp.228–229) | '(see Policy CC13)' | '(see Policy CC12)' | Cross-reference. | University Hub is CC12. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **74** | Policy CC6 criterion a), criterion bb), Figure 57→55, supporting list (pp.230–234) | '…on the Policies Map and Figure 47'; 'Sustainability statement'; Figure 57 'Tall Building Locations'; supporting list of submission documents lettered a)–i). | '…Figure 55.'; 'Sustainability Statement'; Figure 55 'Potential Tall Building Locations'; list bulleted. | Wrong figure number; caption clarified; formatting. | Figure 47 was the Energy Hierarchy; the tall-buildings map is Figure 55. The map shows areas with potential, not allocations, as the policy already says. The submission-document list is guidance, not criteria (officer comment). | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **75** | Policy CC7 criteria and Figure 58→56 (pp.235–236) | '(see Policies E4 and CC14)'; second group lettered a)–e) again; '(see Policy CC12)', '(see Policy CC13)'; Figure 58 'Areas of the City where Business, Creativity and Learning is Encouraged'. | '…CC13'; relettered c)–g); 'CC11', 'CC12'; Figure 56 'Central Activity Area (CAA) and Railway Station Quarter'. | Cross-references; lettering; caption describes map content. | As rows 69–71. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |
| **76** | Policy CC9 policy text (p.240) | '…identified on the Policies Map.'; 'd) Takeaways…subject to the provisions of Policy E11;' | '…Policies Map and Figure 58.'; '…Policy E12;' | Signpost; cross-reference. | Hot food takeaways are controlled by E12 (E11 is Tourism and Culture). | **Error correction / Clarity** | None. |
| **77** | Policy CC10 supporting list of public realm projects (p.243) | Lettered a)–g). | Bulleted, full stops added. Text unchanged. | Formatting. | The list describes Public Realm Strategy projects; it is not a set of criteria. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **78** | Policy CC14 heading (p.254) and CC11–CC13 minor text (pp.246–253) | Policy box headed 'Policy CC15: North Castleward' (contents list, Appendix 1 and Appendix 3 all say CC14); 'promote active travel, through'. | 'Policy CC14: North Castleward'; 'promote active travel through'. | Wrong policy number in heading corrected. | North Castleward is CC14 everywhere else in the Cabinet version; the '15' was a typo. | **Error correction** | None. |
| **79 [item 14]** | Review triggers, para 745→752 e)–f), preceding Policy M1 (p.258) | 'e) Should delivery in the first part of the plan period significantly exceed the annualised housing need figure based on the standard method, and capacity constraints are still evident, look to make an equivalent reduction to the housing need figure in the in the latter part of the plan period through a step trajectory at review.' | 'e) Failure to maintain a rolling 5-year supply of deliverable housing sites.' 'f) Should delivery in the first part of the plan period significantly exceed the annualised housing requirement, and capacity constraints remain evident, the Council will seek to make an equivalent reduction to the housing requirement in the latter part of the plan period through a stepped trajectory, to be introduced at review.' | Existing trigger (e) rewritten for clarity and split into two; wrong term ('housing need figure based on the standard method') corrected to 'housing requirement'. | Clarification. This text is not part of the policy body of M1 but supporting text listing circumstances that would prompt a review. The 5-year supply trigger and the early-years oversupply/stepped trajectory mechanism provide further detail on triggers a), b) and d), which were already in the Cabinet version, and on Policy H1(g) (unchanged in both versions), which already requires the Council to 'maintain a rolling five-year supply of deliverable housing sites' – a failure of H1 is already trigger a). The stepped-trajectory text was in the Cabinet version; it was re-written because it referred to the standard-method need figure when the | **Clarity / Error correction** | None / minor – review triggers guide the Council's own plan-making; they set no test for planning applications. The 5-year supply duty already exists in H1(g) and national policy. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Plan's requirement is the 12,500 minimum (Policy H1), and contained a duplicated phrase ('in the in the'). The triggers guide the Council's own plan-making; they are not applied to planning applications. | | |
| **80** | Appendix 1 Monitoring Indicators – table formatting throughout (pp.259–267) | 'N/A'; several indicators end 'annually'; blank cells for some policies; no full stops. | 'Not applicable.'; 'annually' removed (Policy M1 states all monitoring is annual); blank cells completed with 'Not applicable.'; full stops. | Presentation of the table. | Consistency; Policy M1 already provides that all indicators are reported annually in the AMR. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **81** | Appendix 1 – housing indicators H1, H4, H7, H10, HA1–HA7 (pp.259–261) | H1: 'Net number of new dwellings provided annually'. H4: '…delivered annually'. H7: 'Net number of care home rooms'. HA4/5/7: 'Provision of accessible open space on site' ('high quality public open space' for HA5). HA6: 'Bouleavrd'. | H1 adds 'Supply of deliverable housing sites (5-year supply).' H4 adds 'Number of affordable dwellings delivered in the City Centre.' H7: 'Number of residential care home rooms delivered.' HA1 adds 'Publicly accessible open space provided. New Local Centre uses provided.' HA3 adds 'Publicly accessible open space provided.' HA4/5/7 reworded 'Publicly accessible open space provided.' HA6 spelling corrected. | Indicators aligned with policy requirements. | Monitoring indicators in Appendix 1 are not policy and set no test for planning applications; they record how delivery will be reported in the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR). Indicators were reviewed so that each is measurable from data the Council collects. It became clear some data wasn't collected annually. Each added indicator corresponds to a requirement already in the relevant policy (H1(g) five-year supply; HA1 criteria for school, open space and local centre; HA3 open space and local centre). | **Clarity / Presentation** | None – indicators do not affect how applications are determined. |
| **82** | Appendix 1 – economy indicators E4–E12 (p.262) | E4: 'Net change in office floorspace within CAA'; '…in out-of-centre locations'; 'Net change in employment / jobs by sector'. E5: 'Amount of commercial floorspace completed'. E6: 'Amount of commercial floorspace'; 'Amount of contaminated land remediated'. E7: 'Amount of commercial floorspace completed'. E8: 'Amount of floorspace developed'. E9: 'Net change in in-centre floorspace (sqm)' + two others. E10: 'Net change in edge-of-centre / out-of-centre floorspace (sqm)'. E11: day visitors; staying visitors; average visitor spend; hotel bed spaces; tourism value. E12: 'Lack of concentration of specified uses within a defined centre'. | E4: 'Amount of new office floorspace completed within CAA'; '…in out-of-centre locations' (jobs indicator removed). E5–E8: 'Amount of employment land completed'. E9: floorspace indicator removed (vacancy, mix and footfall retained). E10: 'Not applicable.' E11: 'Net change in footfall or visitor numbers within the City Centre.' E12: 'Net change in the proportion of specified uses within a defined centre.' | Indicators replaced with measurable ones. | Monitoring indicators in Appendix 1 are not policy and set no test for planning applications; they record how delivery will be reported in the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR). Indicators were reviewed so that each is measurable from data the Council collects as 81. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – monitoring only; no policy changed. |
| **83** | Appendix 1 – environment, heritage, climate, infrastructure and transport indicators EN1–T7 (pp.263–265) | EN1: two indicators incl. 'Increase in the percentage of people with access to G&BI assets'. EN3: 'Net change in overall provision of open space, recreational and leisure land…'. EN4: | EN1: access indicator removed. EN3: 'Net change in the amount of G&BI in the City (broken down by open space, recreational and leisure land and facilities)'. EN4: 'Net change in the | Blank cells completed; unmeasurable indicators replaced. | Monitoring indicators in Appendix 1 are not policy and set no test for planning applications; they record how delivery will be reported in the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR). Indicators were reviewed so that each is measurable from data the Council collects as set out in | **Error correction / Clarity** | None – monitoring only. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 'Loss of allotments…'. EN5: one indicator. EN6/EN7: '…in conflict with Policy EN6/EN7'. EN8: 'condition of the network of habitats…'; 'Delivery of net gains in biodiversity'. EN2, EN9–EN13, HE4–HE7, CL1, CL3, CL5, CL6, IC2–IC5, T1, T2, T5, CC2: blank. CL2, CL4, IC1, T3, T4, T6, T7: blank. | number of allotments…'. EN5 adds 'Amount of Green Belt lost in hectares.' EN6/EN7: '…in conflict with the policy'. EN8: 'Amount of designated wildlife sites lost through development.' EN10: 'Number of applications with approved Gain Plans.' CL2: 'Length of new flood defences completed.' CL4: 'Number of renewable energy schemes approved.' IC1/T3/T7: 'Number of applications approved contrary to policy.' T4: 'Number of new routes created.' T6: 'Number of Mobility Hubs created. Number of public charging points created.' Blank cells: 'Not applicable.' | | (81) Completing the table is a correction of an incomplete document; the replacements are measurable equivalents. | | |
| **84 [item 10]** | Appendix 1 – Policy CC5 indicators (p.266) | 'Successful regeneration of the former Assembly Rooms to provide a mix of uses and improvement of the public realm'; 'Enlargement and improvement of the museum'. | 'Not applicable.' | Two indicators removed. | Error correction: neither entry was a measurable indicator (no quantity, unit or data source) and both duplicated aspirations already stated in Policy CC5 and its supporting text (paras 677/685 – Assembly Rooms; 386/391 – Museum), which are unchanged. Monitoring indicators in Appendix 1 are not policy and set no test for planning applications; | **Error correction** | None – Policy CC5 and its supporting text are identical in both versions; monitoring only. |
| **85** | Appendix 1 – City Centre indicators CC1, CC4, CC6–CC13 (pp.266–267) | CC1: 'Net change in footfall across different parts of the City Centre'; 'Net change in employment / jobs by sector and location'; 'Number of empty properties converted'; 'Amount of new commercial and leisure floorspace developed'. CC4: 'Number of dwellings completed'. CC6: 'Developments in accordance with the policy'. CC7: 'Increase in office floorspace'. CC9: 'Percentage change in dead frontage'. CC10: 'Successful public realm enhancement schemes'. | CC1: 'Net change in footfall or visitor numbers within the City Centre' (jobs and empty-property indicators removed); 'Amount of new office floorspace developed'. CC4: '…on allocated sites'. CC6: 'Number of developments in accordance with the policy'. CC7: 'Amount of new office floorspace developed in the CAA'. CC9: 'Net change in the proportion of specified uses within identified frontages'. CC10: 'Delivery of successful public realm enhancement schemes'. CC11 adds 'Length of new flood defences completed'. CC13 adds 'Amount of new rail related office space completed'. | Indicators made measurable and specific. | Monitoring indicators in Appendix 1 are not policy and set no test for planning applications; they record how delivery will be reported in the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR). Indicators were reviewed so that each is measurable from data the Council collects (officer comment, 4 June 2026: 'ideally ones we already collect'). | **Clarity** | None – monitoring only. |
| **86** | Appendix 3 (p.270) and Appendix 4 car parking text (p.275) | 'No policies'; '…e.g. Census statistics…etc.' | 'No policies.'; '…for example, Census statistics…et cetera.' | House style. | Typographical. | **Presentation** | None. |

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **87 [item 12]** | Appendix 4 Guidance on Parking Provision – new 'Cycle Parking' section (p.278) | Appendix 4 contains car and powered-two-wheeler guidance and accessible-parking ratios only; no cycle parking guidance. | Adds 'Cycle Parking' guidance 'based on Department for Transport guidance note LTN 1/20': definitions of short- and long-stay parking and a table of indicative short-/long-stay ratios by land use (retail, employment, leisure, education, residential, public transport), plus 5% adapted-cycle provision. | Missing section of an appendix supplied, from national guidance. | Completion of an appendix that the approved policies already relied on, using national guidance that is already a material consideration. Five provisions of the approved Plan require cycle parking: Policy T3 ('All new development should provide appropriate levels of parking for cars, powered two wheelers and bicycles, having regard to the parking guidance set out in Appendix [4]'); Policy GD2 j) ('consideration of vehicular and cycle parking and servicing requirements'); Policy T1 d) ('secure cycle parking/ storage and lockers'); Policy T6 c) (mobility hubs to include 'Secure cycle parking with storage and maintenance equipment'); and Policy CC12 ('high quality facilities such as secure cycle parking'). All five are unchanged. The approved Appendix 4 contained sections for car parking, Powered Two-Wheeler Parking and Accessible Parking but none for cycles – so the approved Plan required cycle parking 'having regard to' guidance but in error, the guidance wasn't included. | **Presentation / Clarity / Error correction** | Minor. The operative requirement is unchanged – Policy T3 requires 'appropriate levels' of cycle parking 'having regard to' the guidance. This appendix is clearly marked as guidance and is derived from LTN 1/20 which is already a material consideration. |
| **88** | Appendices 5 and 6 (pp.280–283) | Entries without full stops; 'Rolls Royce Land' | Full stops; 'Rolls-Royce Land' | Punctuation. | Typographical. | **Presentation** | None. |
| **89 [item 6]** | Appendix 7 (pp.283–284) | 'Appendix 7: Evidence Base – Non exhaustive list of evidence that has been produced by Derby City Council and on a Derby HMA-wide basis used to develop this plan' (c.25 documents) followed by 'Evidence base elements still to be completed' (12 items, e.g. SFRA Level 2, Viability Assessment, IDP update, Housing Implementation Strategy, 'Continuing work on the Local Plan Sustainability Appraisal'). | 'Appendix 7: Superseded Policies – Replacement of the existing development plan': states that on adoption the Plan supersedes in its entirety the Derby City Local Plan Part 1 – Core Strategy (2017) and the remaining saved policies of the City of Derby Local Plan Review (2006), and that the Minerals and Waste Local Plans are unaffected. | Appendix replaced. | Clarity: the evidence list was relevant for the Regulation 18 draft and for informing Cabinet and Full Council, but by its own terms was 'non exhaustive' and listed work 'still to be completed', so it could not be correct in an adopted plan. The Regulation 19 consultation is accompanied by the full evidence library on the Council's website and the Plan will be submitted with the complete examination library (Regulation 22(1)(e) of the 2012 Regulations requires the supporting documents to be submitted). The replacement text is required under planning law. The 2012 regulations require a local plan that supersedes adopted policies to say so and identify them – the Cabinet version omitted this. Nothing in the appendix is policy. | **Clarity / Error correction** | None – the appendix does not affect decision-making; the superseded-policies statement records the legal effect of adoption that would occur in any event. |
| **90** | Glossary (pp.285–300) | 'as a result o human activities…carbon emissions.'; 'Extra care livingh'; 'Geodiveristy'; 'gypsy and traveller'; 'grade I'; 'paragraph 143'; list punctuation; 'Convention – these'. | 'as a result of human activities'; 'living'; 'Geodiversity'; 'Gypsy and Traveller'; 'Grade I'; 'paragraph 143 of the NPPF'; punctuation; 'Convention. These'. | Typos and house style. | Typographical. | **Error correction / Presentation** | None. |

Minor modifications to the plan which was presented at: Council 15th of July 2026. These changes were made under delegated powers, signed off by the Cabinet Member for Housing, Strategic Planning, and Regulatory Services and enacted prior to publication of the regulation 19 consultation in September 2026.

| Ref | Location (Cabinet para → published para; page) | Cabinet / Full Council version (Item 10, Appendix 1) | Published Regulation 19 version | Nature of change | A) Why the change is minor | B) Head of delegation | C) Material impact on decision-making under the Plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **91** | Whole document – blank page and lists | 'This page is intentionally blank' after contents; contents/lists of figures and tables. | Blank page removed; lists regenerated to reflect renumbering. | Layout. | Automatic. | **Presentation** | None. |