

Youth Justice Partnership Board Report

Date 31.7.21
Title Biannual High Risk & High Risk Policy For a Congruence Check
Author Andrew Ling, Principal Service Manager, Youth Offending Service

1. Why is this report here? (100 words max)

- This report shares the findings of the Biannual High Risk & High Risk Policy For a Congruence check, completed in July 2021 with this report created on 31st July 2021.

2. Summary	
2.1	<p><u>Context</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Twice per year (in July and in Feb, with the first taking place in July 2020), a congruence check is completed to check that ‘high risk’ service-users are being supported under the appropriate multi-agency forum, in accordance with Derby Youth Offending Service’s ‘High Risk Policy’.
2.2	<p><u>Method & Sample</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports were built and run in the YOS’ Case Management System (ChildView) to identify all currently open YOS cases where at least one of the following is true: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessed Risk of Serious Harm is High or Very High Assessed Safety & Wellbeing Concern is High or Very High MAPPA level 1, 2 or 3 Status Index offences include Knife Offences Index offences include Sexual Offences 46 service-users were identified in total. There is significant overlap (e.g. a service-user may be assessed as High RoSH <i>and</i> High S&WC <i>and</i> MAPPA Nomination <i>and</i> Knife/Sexual Offence). Per category above, these are the numbers of qualifying service-users= <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessed Risk of Serious Harm is High or Very High (17) Assessed Safety & Wellbeing Concern is High or Very High (19) MAPPA level 1, 2 or 3 Status (2) Index offences include Knife Offences (21) Index offences include Sexual Offences (4) Thorough file checks were completed in ChildView for all identified service-users and it was noted whether the service-user was or had been properly referred and supported under the correct High Risk Forum (see High Risk Policy for full description for each Forum and it’s intended purpose and referral criteria).
2.3	<p><u>Key Findings</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessed Risk of Serious Harm is High or Very High (17) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supported under the correct forum = 13 Out of Area (no meetings required by Derby YOS) = 1 Currently under active consideration for Risk Forum Meeting due to very

	<p>recently being assessed = 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Missed Referral by Case Manager = 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Assessed Safety & Wellbeing Concern is High or Very High (19) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Supported under a YOS forum = 15 ○ Out of Area (no meetings required by Derby YOS) = 1 ○ Missed Referral by Case Manager = 3 ● MAPPA level 1, 2 or 3 Status (2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Supported under a YOS Forum = 2 ○ Out of Area (no meetings required by Derby YOS) = 0 ○ Missed Referral by Case Manager = 0 ● Index offences include Knife Offences (21) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Supported under a YOS forum = 9 ○ Out of Area (no meetings required by Derby YOS) = 0 ○ Nature of offence assessed not to meet high risk threshold = 9 ○ Historic Offence pre-dating commencement of current Order by 24 months or more = 0 ○ Missed Referral by Case Manager = 3 ● Index offences include Sexual Offences (4) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Supported under a YOS forum = 1 ○ Out of Area (no meetings required by Derby YOS) = 0 ○ Nature of offence assessed not to meet high risk threshold = 0 ○ Historic Offence pre-dating commencement of current Order by 24 months or more = 3 ○ Missed Referral by Case Manager = 0 <p><i>NB – Due to overlap between categories, there were 7 missed referrals in total (out of 45 individuals) which represents an overall congruence of 84%</i></p>
2.4	<p><u>Key Learning</u></p> <p>Cases that do not meet the high-risk threshold include ‘simple possession’ of a weapon where it was a first offence of this type, there were no aggravating factors and harm was not caused.</p> <p>When cases that do not meet the high risk threshold are removed, the following congruence is observed (in percentage of instances that resulted in the appropriate YOS High Risk Forum being deployed):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Assessed Risk of Serious Harm is High or Very High = 87% (13/15) ● Assessed Safety & Wellbeing Concern is High or Very High = 83% (15/18) ● MAPPA level 1, 2 or 3 Status = 100% (2/2) ● Index offences include Knife Offences = 75% (9/12)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Index offences include Sexual Offences = 100% (1/1) <p>NB – It must be noted that the <i>all</i> of the missed referrals into a High Risk Forum Protocol relate to the same 4 cases: Case 1 = High RoSH & High Safety & Wellbeing Concerns Case 2 = High RoSH & High Safety & Wellbeing Concerns & Knife Offence Case 3 = High Safety & Wellbeing Concerns & Knife Offence Case 4 = Knife offence that met the high-risk threshold</p> <p>Therefore, in absolute terms there were <u>46</u> individual cases of which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 29 were supported under a YOS High Risk Forum - 13 were either managed Out-Of-Area or did not meet the high-risk threshold - 4 were missed referrals by the Case Manager <p>Removing the ineligible cases gives an overall congruence of <u>88% (29/33)</u></p>
2.5	<p><u>Next Steps</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service Managers will be advised by the YOS QA Lead of any case where a referral into the High Risk Fora was missed by one of the Case Managers under their supervision so that the issue can be raised in a formal supervision session. • YOS QA Lead will deliver the contents of this report to the YOS Team at the September YOS Team Meeting.

3. What are the expected outcomes for children and young people and how will they be monitored?

3.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the identification service-users with specific categories of high risk & safety indicators to result in referral into the correct High Risk Forum, in accordance with the Derby YOS High Risk Policy.
-----	---

4. What is being asked?

4.1	<p>What do you want this Board to do as a group?</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To note the results of this report • To note the remedial action with respect to any area where there is less than 100% congruence
4.2	<p>What do you want individual partner agencies to do?</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No action required of partner agencies

<p>Background Papers and Appendices (list as appropriate)</p>
<p>REDACTED</p>