

**DERBY CITY LOCAL PLAN PART 1: CORE STRATEGY
AGENDA FOR PUBLIC EXAMINATION HEARING**

**Wednesday 4th May 2016 10:00
Holiday Inn Derby Riverlights, Morledge, Derby, DE1 2AY**

AGENDA

10:00 **Matter 5: Infrastructure and Implementation** (*Policies CP3, CP21, CP22, CP23, CP24, AC4, MH1*)

Participants for this session:

Name	Representing
Mr Steven Lee/Ms Rosie Watson	Derby City Council
Mr Dave Brown/Ms Nicky Bartley	Derby City Council
Laura Simpson	Derby City Council (Highways)
Ms Helen Cattle	Sport England
Mr Robert Hepwood	Clowes Developments (UK) Ltd
Mr David Peck	Planning and Design Group <i>for</i> Hallam Land Management Ltd
Mr John Acres	Acres Land & Planning <i>for</i> British & Continental Co Ltd
Ms Penny Moss	Montagu Evans <i>for</i> Londonmetric Derby Ltd & Clowes Developments (UK) Ltd

Main issue - Whether the infrastructure requirements for the Local Plan are soundly based and deliverable and whether the development proposed is viable with clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring?

General

- a) Which of the items of infrastructure identified in the Local Plan or the Infrastructure Delivery Plan are critical to the delivery of the Local Plan?
- b) Have the items of critical infrastructure been justified by the evidence base?
- c) What would be the consequences for the strategy if any of the critical infrastructure was not delivered? Is there sufficient clarity about the funding sources for this infrastructure? Is there sufficient commitment at this stage from the relevant organisations responsible for delivery?
- d) Is it clear what infrastructure is required for the first 5 years of the plan and who is going to fund and provide it?

DERBY CITY LOCAL PLAN PART 1: CORE STRATEGY AGENDA FOR PUBLIC EXAMINATION HEARING

Transport

- e) Have the overall transport implications of the Local Plan been adequately assessed, including any traffic congestion effects? Will the identified infrastructure requirements be effective in addressing those impacts? Is it clear how the infrastructure will be delivered?
- f) Does the Local Plan facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport?
- g) Are proposals for transport improvements in Policy CP24 justified and deliverable?
- h) Would the Local Plan be unsound if the Policies Map did not make a more specific allocation for the A52/Wyvern junction improvement?
- i) Should the Local Plan include reference to Strategic Rail Freight Interchanges?
- j) Do Policies CP23 and AC4 and Appendix C accord with national policy for local parking standards, including the amendments in this regard in the Written Ministerial Statement of March 2015?

Other infrastructure and services

- k) Has the Local Plan taken appropriate account of water resources? To what extent is water supply a constraint on development?
- l) Have the implications of the Local Plan for waste water infrastructure been appropriately identified and justified?
- m) Have the education infrastructure implications of the Local Plan been appropriately identified? Is the infrastructure deliverable and are there effective mechanisms for implementation?
- n) Have the implications of the Local Plan for other community facilities been appropriately identified? Are any necessary additional facilities deliverable and are there effective mechanisms for implementation?
- o) Does Policy CP22 make appropriate provision for higher and further education?
- p) Is it justifiable to include public art in Policy MH1 as necessary and appropriate infrastructure? Is the approach to securing public art in Policy CP3 justified and appropriate?

Implementation

- q) Has the overall viability of development been appropriately assessed? Would the requirements of the policies in the Local Plan put the viability of its implementation as a whole at risk?
- r) Have appropriate allowances been made for infrastructure requirements in terms of s106 contributions, the CIL or other mechanisms and the climate change mitigation requirements of the Local Plan in assessing the overall viability of development?
- s) Does the approach to infrastructure requirements comply with CIL Regulation 122 where they would be sought by means of planning obligations?

**DERBY CITY LOCAL PLAN PART 1: CORE STRATEGY
AGENDA FOR PUBLIC EXAMINATION HEARING**

- t) Do the limitations on the pooling of s106 contributions have any implications for the delivery of critical infrastructure, particularly prior to the adoption of a CIL?
- u) Is it appropriate for Policy MH1 to include the possibility of 'clawback' agreements in planning obligations with the intention of increasing contributions should the viability of development improve?
- v) Is it appropriate for Policy CP3 to include encouragement of sprinkler systems in new residential development?
- w) Does the reference to compliance with Supplementary Planning Documents in Policy CP21 reflect legal and national policy requirements?
- x) Does the Local Plan include clear arrangements for managing and monitoring its implementation?